

### **Disclosures**

Research Funding: AstraZeneca, Incyte/Morphosys, EUSA/Recordati, Genmab/AbbVie

# **Objectives**

• Methods of detecting high-risk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma

- Prediction Models
- Cell of Origin and Molecular Risk
- Imaging
- Management decisions
  - Selection of Appropriate Therapy
  - Future Directions

### **Defining Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma**

DLBCL

- Incidence 8/100,000
- Median age 70 years
- B symptoms (weight loss, night sweats, fatigue, poor appetite)
- Architecture disruption of lymph node with large,

maigmant.Becelswiki/File:Large\_b\_cell\_lymphoma\_\_cytology\_small.jpg



### Long term outcomes in DLBCL

R-CHOP chemotherapy has been standard for >15 years

- Rituximab + Doxorubicin, Cytoxan, Vincristine, Prednisone
- R-CHOP vs CHOP Phase III study
- 10-year survival
  - R-CHOP 43.5%
  - CHOP 27.6 %

Coiffier et al, Blood, 2010

# **Objectives**

- Methods of detecting high-risk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma
  - Prediction Models
  - Cell of Origin and Molecular Risk
  - Imaging
- Management decisions
  - Selection of Appropriate Therapy
  - Future Directions

### Revised International Prognostic Index

<b>Risk Factors</b>	<b>R-IPI points</b>
Age >60	1
Stage III/IV	1
Elevated Lactate Dehydrogenase	1
Performance status ≥ 2	1
Extranodal Disease	1

	IPI factors	4-year PFS	4-year OS
Very good	0	94%	94%
Intermediate	1-2	80%	79%
Poor	3-5	53%	55%

Sehn et al, Blood, 2007

# **Objectives**

- Methods of detecting high-risk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma
  - Prediction Models
  - Cell of Origin and Molecular Risk
  - Imaging
- Management decisions
  - Selection of Appropriate Therapy
  - Future Directions

# **Cell of Origin**

DLBCL can be subtyped by Cell of Origin:

- B-cell maturation at time of transformation
- Germinal Center DLBCL vs non-germinal center DLBCL
- Based in gene expression differences
- Gene expression assays are not available clinically
- Hans criteria (clinically available) estimate gene expression
  Hans et al, Blood, 2004



### **Double Expressor and Double Hit**

Myc

 Oncogene - activates expression of proliferation factors

Overexpressed or genetic translocation

BCL2 and BCL6

- Both stop apoptosis and promote cell survival
- Overexpressed or genetic translocation

Hans et al, Blood, 2004

### **Double Expressor and Double Hit**

**Double Expressor** 

• Myc + BCL2 overexpression

**Double Hit** 

- MYC genetic translocation
- BCL2/BCL6 translocation

	5-year OS
DLBCL	70%
Double Expressor	36%
Double Hit	27%

Johnson et al, JCO, 2012

### **Genomic Drivers - DLBCL**

Large scale genomic sequencing

- 1001 DLBCL patients sequenced, whole exome sequencing
- Alterations associated with increased risk of death included:
  - CD79B, MYC, ZFAT, CDKN2A, PAX5, BTG1, KLHL14, NCOR1, and NFKBIA
- Comparing clinical IPI risk and genomic risk, no significant difference

Reddy et al, Cell, 2017

# **Objectives**

- Methods of detecting high-risk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma
  - Prediction Models
  - Cell of Origin and Molecular Risk
  - Imaging
- Management decisions
  - Selection of Appropriate Therapy
  - Future Directions

# Metabolic Tumor Volume (MTV)

Calculated from <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT scans by summing the volumes of all lymphomatous lesions

- 114 newly diagnosed DLBCL, divided into quartiles
  - 3-year OS: 93%, 84%, 78%, 59%
- Combining MTV with molecular risk (double expression)

• 3-year OS: ~80% (MTV low, no double expression), ~60% (MTV high, no double expression), 0% (MTV Sasanelli et al, Eur J Nuc Med Mol Imaging, 2014 high and double expression et al, Clin Cancer Research, 2016

# **Summary - Defining High Risk DLBCL**

- R-IPI risk score provides a good estimate of survival
- Cell of origin, double expression, and double-hit play roles in predicting survival
- Genetic alterations can predict survival but not available in clinic yet
- High volume disease is an independent risk factor which may or may not be captured in the IPI or pathologic features

# **Objectives**

• Methods of detecting high-risk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma

- Prediction Models
- Cell of Origin and Molecular Risk
- Imaging
- Management decisions
  - Selection of Appropriate Therapy
  - Future Directions

### Long term outcomes in DLBCL

R-CHOP chemotherapy has been standard for >15 years

- Rituximab + Doxorubicin, Cytoxan, Vincristine, Prednisone
- Rituximab CD20 antibody, antibody dependent cell cytotoxicity. Depends on natural killer cells and others
- Chemotherapy: inhibits cell cycle through multiple mechanisms

• 10-year survival: R-CHOP – 43.5%

Coiffier et al, Blood, 2010

### **More Chemo = Better Chemo?**

R-CHOP vs dose adjusted R-EPOCH (all DLBCL patients)

• Rituximab + Doxorubicin, Cytoxan, Vincristine,

Prednisone

- Rituximab + Etoposide, Doxo, Cytoxan, Vincristine, Pred
- Toxicity
  - Grade 3-5 toxicities 78% RCHOP; 98% REPOCH
  - Grade <a>2 Febrile neutropenia 18% vs 35%</a>
  - Grade  $\geq$ 3 Neuropathy 3% vs 18.6%

Barlett et al, JCO, 2019

### **More Chemo = Better Chemo?**

R-CHOP vs dose adjusted R-EPOCH (all DLBCL patients)

- 5-year PFS 66% R-CHOP vs 68% R-EPOCH
- 5-year OS 78% R-CHOP vs 78% R-EPOCH
- Subgroup analyses?
  - By double expressor no difference

• By R-IPI 1-2 vs 3-5 – potential trend towards improved PFS with R-EPOCH, but due to toxicity, not recommended

Bartlett et al, JCO, 2019

### More Chemo = Better Chemo?

R-CHOP vs dose adjusted R-EPOCH (Double Hit)

- There is no randomized data to compare
- Limited prospective data
  - 19 Double Hit patients treated with R-EPOCH
  - 2-year OS: ~70%
- Retrospective data
  - 2-year OS: ~75%

*Dunleavy, Lancet Haematol, 2014 Oki et al, BJH, 2014* 

# **Challenges To R-CHOP**

REMoDL-B: phase III V-R-CHOP vs R-CHOP

- V-R-CHOP: Bortezomib + R-CHOP
  - Stratified by Cell of Origin gene expression profiling
  - >1000 patients underwent gene expression profiling
  - 244 ABC type, 475 GCB type, 199 unclassifiable
  - 30-month PFS
    - All patients: 70% R-CHOP; 75% V-R-CHOP; p 0.18

Davies et al, Lancet Oncol 2019

# **Challenges To R-CHOP**

PHOENIX: phase III Ibrutinib-R-CHOP vs R-CHOP

- Stratified by Cell of Origin Hans criteria non GCB
- 836 patients underwent randomization
- There was no difference in EFS HR 0.93, p 0.59
- There was no difference in OS HR 0.99, p 0.96

\*\*\* Subgroup analyses did show benefit in patients <60 years leading to hypothesis that toxicity from Ibrutinib may limit benefit in older patients

Younes et al, JCO 2019

# **Challenges To R-CHOP**

**ROBUST: phase III Len-R-CHOP vs R-CHOP** 

- Lenalidomide 15mg daily; day 1-14 every 21 days
- Selected by Cell of Origin gene expression profiling
- 570 patients randomized
- Len-R-CHOP did not improve PFS; HR 0.85, p 0.29

\*\*\* Possibility that "highest risk" patients cannot wait for gene expression profiling.

Nowakowski et al, JCO 2021

# **Challenges To R-CHOP**

POLARIX: phase III Polatuzumab-R-CHP vs R-CHOP

- Polatuzumab vedotin CD79b antibody drug conjugate
- Selected by IPI score 2-5; 879 patients randomized
- Pola-R-CHP did improve PFS; HR 0.72, p 0.02
- 2-year PFS: 76.7% Pola-RCHP vs 70.2% R-CHOP
- No overall survival benefit

\*\*\* First study to "beat" R-CHOP in DLBCL

Tilly et al, NEJM 2021

# **Challenges To R-CHOP**

POLARIX: phase III Polatuzumab-R-CHP vs R-CHOP

- Still some debate surrounding magnitude of 6% PFS benefit at 2 years, more to come over time
- Toxicity: very similar to R-CHOP. More neutropenia all patients will receive Neulasta
- Subgroup analyses: <u>use with caution</u>
  - Largest benefit seen in IPI 3-5, ABC subtype, nonbulky disease, patients >60 years

Tilly et al, NEJM 2021

# Summary - Defining High Risk DLBCL

- R-CHOP has been standard of care for decades
- R-EPOCH is more toxic, but no better in all DLBCL
- R-EPOCH is likely beneficial in Double-Hit DLBCL
- Multiple regimens have failed to beat R-CHOP in DLBCL
- Pola-R-CHP is the first to show a PFS benefit, though no OS benefit at this time

# **Objectives**

- Methods of detecting high-risk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma
  - Prediction Models
  - Cell of Origin and Molecular Risk
  - Imaging
- Management decisions
  - Selection of Appropriate Therapy
  - <u>Future Directions</u>

### **Future Directions**

Ongoing Clinical Trials Of Interest:

- Additional Antibody Therapies
- Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-cell Therapy
- Bi-Specific Antibody Therapy

# **Future Directions**

Additional Antibody Therapies:

- Phase II: Zilovertamab vedotin + R-CHP
  - ROR1 antibody drug conjugate
- Phase III: Tafasitamab + R-CHOP vs R-CHOP
  - CD19 Antibody

# **Future Directions**

Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy:

- CAR-T cell therapy = modified T-cells from patient to target antigen on tumor. CD19 is the most common target.
- CAR-T cell therapy beneficial in 2<sup>nd</sup> line treatment for DLBCL.
- ZUMA-12:
  - Phase II study front-line CAR-T for double hit and IPI <u>></u>3
  - Prelim response rate: 40 patients, 89% responded, 78% complete response

Neelapu et al, Nat Med 2022

# **Future Directions**

**Bi-specific Antibody Therapies:** 

- Antibodies with target (CD20) and immune activation (CD3)
- Phase II: Glofitamab + R-CHOP
  - CD20 x CD3 bi-specific antibody
- Phase II: Epcoritamab + R-CHOP
  - CD20 x CD3 bi-specific antibody

### **Summary – Future Directions**

- Multiple studies are ongoing to test new immunotherapies combined with R-CHOP or Polatuzumab-R-CHP
- CAR-T cell therapy and Bi-specific antibody therapies are the most promising at this time for continued improvement in outcomes
- Once improvement can be shown, we will may to consider de-escalation of chemotherapy portions of novel regimens to limit toxicity



#### Outline

- Overview of lymphoma subtypes
- Indolent B-cell lymphomas
  - Follicular lymphoma
  - Marginal zone lymphoma
  - Waldenström macroglobulinemia







#### Indolent B-cell NHL

- Lymphomas that typically grow slowly (over many months to years)
- Most patients present at advanced stage
- Generally considered incurable
- Relapsing and remitting course
- Treatment is generally indicated to control symptoms and prevent end-organ damage
- <u>Most</u> patients can anticipate a normal life expectancy
- Carry a risk of transformation to a more aggressive lymphoma

39

#### **Diagnosis/Staging**

- Fine-needle aspirate is inadequate for initial diagnosis
- Excisional biopsy is recommended
- Core-needle biopsy may suffice when excision not feasible
- CBC, CMP, LDH, HIV, and Hepatitis panel
- PET/CT for follicular lymphoma +/- marginal zone lymphoma
- CT scans for lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma +/- marginal zone lymphoma
- Bone marrow biopsy in selected patients
- Serum monoclonal protein

40

#### Diagnosis

 Based on morphology, immunophenotype, and molecular denetics

geneaee		CD5	CD10	CD20	CD23	CD138
	SLL/CLL	+	-	+ (DIM)	+	-
	FL	-	+	+	-/+	-
	MZL	-	-	+	_/+	-
	LPL	-	-	+	-	+
	MCL	+	-	+	-	-

#### Molecular Alterations in Indolent NHL

Histologic Type	Alteration	Cases Affected	Proto- Oncogene Involved	Mechanism of ProtoOncogene Activation	Proto-Oncogene Function
FL	t(14;18)	90%	BCL-2	Transcription deregulation	Negative regulator of apoptosis
MALT lymphoma	t(11;18)	50%	API <sub>2</sub> /MLT	Fusion protein	API <sub>2</sub> has antiapoptotic activity
LPL	MYD88 L265P mutation	95%	MYD88	Activation	B-cell signaling
MCL	t(11;14)	70%	Cyclin D1	Transcription deregulation	Cell cycle regulator

42





#### Follicular Lymphoma – Clinical Presentation

- Majority present with painless lymphadenopathy, may wax and wane
- 80-85% present with advanced-stage disease (stage III/IV)
- B symptoms in 20%
- Very heterogenous disease

#### Follicular Lymphoma – Clinical Presentations



#### Follicular Lymphoma – Clinical Presentations





#### Indications for Treatment (GELF Criteria)

- Involvement of at  $\geq$ 3 nodal sites (each with a diameter >3 cm)
- Any nodal or extranodal mass ≥7 cm in its greater diameter
- B symptoms
- Splenomegaly
- Pleural/peritoneal effusion
- Cytopenias (leukocytes <1.0 × 10<sup>9</sup>/L and/or platelets <100 × 10<sup>9</sup>/L)
- Leukemia (>5.0 × 10<sup>9</sup>/L malignant cells)

#### FL – First-line Treatment

- XRT for limited-stage disease in a peripheral location (e.g., inguinal lymph nodes)
- Observation (watch-and-wait) for asymptomatic patients with lowtumor burden
- When systemic treatment is indicated, options include:
  - Rituximab monotherapy: Favored for symptomatic low-tumor burden or unfit patients
  - Anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody (rituximab, obinutuzumab) + chemotherapy (bendamustine, CHOP) +/- maintenance
    - Favored for fit patients with bulky/symptomatic disease
  - Lenalidomide + anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody (rituximab, obinutuzumab)
    - "non-chemo" option Patient/physician preference

5





#### **Bispecific Antibodies** T cell Small linker peptides connecting two different single-chain variable CD3 fragments with one fragment designed to bind to CD3 on T cells BiTE (bispecific T-cell engager) and the other to a tumor associated tumor antigen antigen tumor cell The simultaneous binding of CD3 on . T cells and the tumor associated antigen triggers T-cell mediated cytotoxicity of the malignant cell. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:BiTE\_antibody\_01.svg 53



#### Subtypes

- Extranodal (MALT)
  - ~ Two-thirds of cases
  - At any extranodal site, usually in the context of chronic antigenic stimulation
  - Stomach, ocular adnexa, lung, salivary glands, …
  - RT is very effective for localized disease
- Splenic, ~ 20%
  - Lymphocytosis is common

```
■ Nodal, ~ 10%
```

```
Infections/Inflammation
```

- Helicobacter pylori → Gastric
- Chlamydia psittaci → Ocular
- Campylobacter jejuni → Immunoproliferative small intestinal disease (IPSID)
- Borrelia afzelii → Skin
- Achromobacter xylosoxidans → Pulmonary
- Hepatitis C  $\rightarrow$  Splenic

#### **Gastric MALT Lymphoma**

- Most common subtype, 30-50% of all cases
- Associated with H. pylori
- Present with local symptoms
- If H. pylori positive, preferred treatment is H. pylori eradication followed by surveillance
- If H. pylori negative and localized disease (stage I-II), preferred treatment is RT with curative intent

#### **MZL – Treatment Options**

- Rituximab monotherapy
- Rituximab + chemotherapy (bendamustine)
- Targeted/novel agents:
  - Lenalidomide + rituximab
  - BTK inhibitors (zanubrutinib)
  - PI3K inhibitors (copanlisib)

#### **Case Presentation**

- A 53-year-old female presented with headache, vision blurring, and confusion.
- Exam was notable for hemorrhagic retinopathy.
- Labs:

#### CBC: Normocytic anemia (Hb 8.6 g/dL)

Bilirubin Total <1.5 mg/dL	0.2
ALP 32 - 126 U/L	42
<b>ALT</b> 9 - 48 U/L	12
<b>AST</b> 14 - 40 U/L	21
Total Protein 6.4 - 8.3 g/dL	>24.0 ^

#### **Case Presentation**

- A 53-year-old female presented with headache, vision blurring, and confusion.
- Exam was notable for hemorrhagic retinopathy.

#### Labs:

Labe:		IGM	0.100.4		
CBC: Normocytic anemia (Hb 8.6 g/dL)		45 - 281 mg/dL	9,160 ^		
Bilirubin Total <1.5 mg/dL	0.2	Monoclonal 1	7,198.0 ^		
ALP	42	<=0.0 mg/dL			
32 - 126 U/L		Serum Immunofixation	IgM kappa monoclonal protein is present.		
ALT	12	-			
9 - 48 U/L		Viscosity, Serum	13.0 \$		
AST	21	1.5 - 1.9 rel to H2O	20.0 ~		
14 - 40 U/L					
Total Protein	>24.0 ^				
6 4 - 0 2 a /dl					

#### Lymphoplasmacytic Lymphoma

#### Lymphoplasmacytic Lymphoma/Waldenström Macroglobulinemia

- LPL + IgM monoclonal protein + BM involvement = Waldenström Macroglobulinemia
  - WM accounts for 95% of LPL cases
- Symptoms/signs due to
  - Organ infiltration: bone marrow, splenomegaly, lymphadenopathy, extranodal involvement
  - IgM-related:
    - Hyperviscosity
    - Peripheral neuropathy 25-50%
    - Cryoglobulinemia ~ 10%
    - Cold agglutinin hemolytic anemia ~ 10%
  - AL amyloidosis

#### LPL - Treatment

- ~25% asymptomatic and can be observed
- The level of M protein alone is not an indication to start treatment
  - Carefully monitor patients with IgM > 5,000 6,000
- Plasmapheresis when immediate reduction in IgM is needed
  - Hyperviscosity, symptomatic cryoglobulinemia, severe hemolysis from cold agglutinin disease
- Preferred treatment for symptomatic patients is chemotherapy (BR) or a BTK inhibitor (zanubrutinib, ibrutinib)



